

Sydney, December 7, 2017

Financial markets with small transaction costs

Yuri Kabanov

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Franche-Comté and
Federal Research Center Informatics and Control, Moscow

UNSW-Macquarie University Workshop Risk: Modeling, Optimization, and Inference

Sydney, December 7, 2017

Approximate hedging

- Continuous time setting, gBm price process of a risky security.
- Classical call-option $(S_1 - K)^+$.
- Transaction costs coefficient $k_n = n^{-1/2}k_0 \rightarrow 0$.
- Leland prescription : use the BS-formula with enlarge volatility

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sigma^2 + \sigma k_0 \sqrt{8/\pi}.$$

- Equidistant grid with $H_{t_i}^n = \hat{C}_x(t_n, S_{t_n}) = C_x(t_n, S_{t_n}, \hat{\sigma})$

$$V_t^n = \hat{C}(0, S_0, \hat{\sigma}) + \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n H_{t_{i-1}}^n h_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}(u) dS_u - \sum_{0 < t_i < t} k_n S_{t_i} |H_{t_i}^n - H_{t_{i-1}}^n|.$$

Theorem (Leland–Lott)

$V_1^n \rightarrow V_1 = (S_1 - K)^+$ in probability.

The same result, if $k_n = k_0 n^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha \in]0, 1/2]$ and the correction

$$\hat{\sigma}_n^2 := \sigma^2 \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_n}{\sigma}\right), \quad \gamma_n := \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}} k_n n^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}} k_0 n^{1/2-\alpha}.$$

Approximation error

Theorem

The mean square approximation error is of order n^{-1} . More precisely,

$$E(V_1^n - V_1)^2 = A_1 n^{-1} + o(n^{-1}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the coefficient

$$A_1 = \int_0^1 \left[\frac{\sigma^4}{2} + \sigma^3 k_0 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} + k_0^2 \sigma^2 \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \right) \right] \Lambda_t dt$$

with $\Lambda_t = ES_t^4 \widehat{C}_{xx}^2(t, S_t)$. Explicitly,

$$\Lambda_t = \frac{K^2}{2\pi\widehat{\sigma}\sqrt{1-t}\sqrt{2\sigma^2 t + \widehat{\sigma}^2(1-t)}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(\ln \frac{S_0}{K} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t - \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\sigma}^2(1-t))^2}{2\sigma^2 t + \widehat{\sigma}^2(1-t)} \right\}.$$

Ramifications, 1

Non-uniform grid

Let f be a strictly increasing differentiable function on $[0, 1]$ with $f(0) = 0$, $f(1) = 1$ and let $g := f^{-1}$ denote its inverse.

The revision dates are $t_i = t_i^n = g(i/n)$, where $g := f^{-1}$.

The enlarged volatility depends on t :

$$\hat{\sigma}_t^2 = \sigma^2 + \sigma k_0 \sqrt{8/\pi} \sqrt{f'(t)}.$$

The pricing function

$$\hat{C}(t, x) = E(xe^{\xi\rho_t - \frac{1}{2}\rho_t^2} - K)^+, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad x > 0, \quad \xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1),$$

where $\rho_t^2 = \int_t^1 \hat{\sigma}_s^2 ds$, admits the explicit expression

$$\hat{C}(t, x) = x\Phi(\rho_t^{-1} \ln(x/K) + \rho_t/2) - K\Phi(\rho_t^{-1} \ln(x/K) - \rho_t/2), \quad t < 1.$$

Assumption 1 : $g, f \in C^2([0, 1])$.

Assumption 2 : $g(t) = 1 - (1 - t)^\beta$, $\beta \geq 1$.

Ramifications, 2

Approximation error for non-uniform grids

Theorem

Under any of the above assumptions

$$E(V_1^n - V_1)^2 = A_1(f)n^{-1} + o(n^{-1}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$A_1(f) = \int_0^1 \left[\frac{\sigma^4}{2} \frac{1}{f'(t)} + k_0 \sigma^3 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{f'(t)}} + k_0^2 \sigma^2 \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\right) \right] \Lambda_t dt,$$

with $\Lambda_t = ES_t^4 \widehat{C}_{xx}^2(t, S_t)$. Explicitly,

$$\Lambda_t = \frac{1}{2\pi\rho_t} \frac{K^2}{\sqrt{2\sigma^2 t + \rho_t^2}} \exp \left\{ - \frac{(\ln \frac{S_0}{K} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t - \frac{1}{2}\rho_t^2)^2}{2\sigma^2 t + \rho_t^2} \right\}.$$

Ramifications, 3

Convex pay-offs, non-uniform grids

Let the pay-off be $G(S_1)$. The pricing function

$$\widehat{C}(t, x) = EG(xe^{\xi\rho t - \frac{1}{2}\rho_t^2}), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad x > 0, \quad \xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1),$$

solves the Cauchy (terminal value) problem in the domain $[0, 1] \times]0, \infty[$

$$\widehat{C}_t(t, x) + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\sigma}_t^2 x^2 \widehat{C}_{xx}(t, x) = 0, \quad \widehat{C}(1, x) = G(x).$$

Assumption 3 : $G : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a convex function such that $G|_{I_j} \in C^2(I_j)$, where the intervals $I_j := [K_{j-1}, K_j]$, $j \leq N$, $I_{N+1} := [K_N, \infty[$ and $G''(x) \leq \kappa(1 + x^m)$ for some $\kappa, m > 0$.

For contingent claim satisfying Assumption 3 the previous theorem remains valid.

Ramifications, 4

Functional limit theorem

Let $\widehat{V} = \widehat{C}(t, S_t)$.

Theorem

Suppose that Assumptions 1 or 2 hold. Suppose also that Assumption 3 on the pay-off function is fulfilled. Then the distributions of the process $Y^n := n^{1/2}(V^n - \widehat{V})$ in the Skorohod space $\mathcal{D}[0, 1]$ converge weakly to the distribution of the process

$$Y_t = \int_0^t F(t, S_t) dW'_t$$

where W' is an independent Wiener process and

$$F(t, x) = \left[\frac{\sigma^4}{2} \frac{1}{f'(t)} + k_0 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\sigma^3}{\sqrt{f'(t)}} + k_0^2 \sigma^2 \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \right) \right]^{1/2} \widehat{C}_{xx}(t, x) x^2.$$

Geometric formulation of models transaction costs, 1

- Piece-wise constant price process $S^n = (S_t^n)_{t \in [0,1]}$ with jumps at $t_k = k/n$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, $S_0 = \mathbf{1}$, $S^0 \equiv 1$.
- **Solvency cone in terms of the numéraire** $K^n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $K^{n*} = \mathbb{R}_+(\mathbf{1} + n^{-1/2}U_n)$ where the sequence of convex compact sets U_n lays in a bounded subset of the linear subspace $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x^0 = 0\}$ (identified with \mathbb{R}^{d-1}) and converges to a convex compact U . E.g., $U = [-\kappa_0, \kappa_0]$.
- **Solvency cone in terms of physical units** $K_t^n := K^n/S_t^n$ with the dual $\hat{K}_t^n := D_t^n K^n$, where $D_t^n := \text{diag } S_t^n K^n$
- Price dynamics

$$\hat{V}_t = x + \sum_{t_k \leq t} \widehat{\Delta B}_{t_k}, \quad \widehat{\Delta B}_{t_k} \in L^0(-\hat{K}_{t_k}^n, \mathcal{F}_{t_k}^n).$$

We denote $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_x^n(1)$ the set of terminal values of such processes.

- **Consistent price systems** are martingales $Z \in \mathcal{M}_0(\hat{K}^{n*} \setminus \{0\})$, i.e $Z_t \in L^1(\hat{K}_t^{n*} \setminus \{0\})$, Z is constant on $[t_{k-1}, t_k[$, $Z^0 = 1$.

Geometric formulation of models transaction costs, 2

- **Contingent claim** is an arbitrary \mathbb{R}^d -valued random variable ζ^n (a function of the price, i.e. $\zeta^n = \widehat{F}(S^n)$).
- **Hedging set** is the set of initial values allowing portfolio processes with the terminal values dominating the contingent claim $\widehat{F}(S^n)$, i.e.

$$\Gamma^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \widehat{F}(S^n) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_x^n(1)\}.$$

- **The hedging theorem** gives the following description :

$$\Gamma^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : Z_0 x \geq EZ_1 \widehat{F}(S^n) \quad \forall Z \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{K}^{n*} \setminus \{0\})\}.$$

- **Problem** : given a model for S^n find the limit Γ^∞ of the sets Γ^n .
- Closed limit convergence $\Gamma^n \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty$:
 - 1 For any $x \in \Gamma^\infty$, there are $x^n \in \Gamma^n$ such that $x^n \rightarrow x$.
 - 2 For any convergent subsequence of a sequence of vectors $x^n \in \Gamma^n$, its limit x belongs to Γ^∞ .

Grépat theorem (simplified form)

- $d = 2$. The price process $S_t^n := (1, \eta_{[nt]})$ where

$$\eta_k^n = \prod_{j \leq k} \left(1 + n^{-1/2} \sigma \xi_j^n\right),$$

ξ_k^n , $k = 1, \dots, n$, are independent and take values -1 and 1 with equal probabilities.

- $U_n = (1 + n^{-1/2} \lambda)^{-1} - 1, n^{-1/2} \lambda$.
- \widehat{F} is bounded function on the Skorohod space, Lipschitz in the uniform norm.
- Let W be a Wiener process and let g be a predictable process,

$$\sigma(\sigma - 2\lambda)^+ \leq g^2 \leq \sigma(\sigma + 2\lambda).$$

Put $\Gamma^\infty := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2: Z_0 x \geq EZ_1 \widehat{F}(Z) \quad \forall Z = (1, \mathcal{E}(g \cdot W))\}$.

Theorem (Grépat, 2013)

$$\Gamma^n \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty.$$

Bank–Dolinsky–Perkkiö theorem, 1

- $d \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{V} := \{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$ be the set of vertices of a regular simplex $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, i.e. d affine independent vectors such that $|v_i|^2 = d - 1$, $\sum_{i \leq d} v_i = 0$, and $v_i v_j = -1$ for $i \neq j$.
- The price process $S_t^n := (1, \eta_{[nt]})$ where

$$\ln \eta_k^n = \sum_{j \leq k} \ln \left(\mathbf{1} + n^{-1/2} \sigma \xi_j^n \right),$$

ξ_k^n , $k = 1, \dots, n$, are independent and take values v_i with equal probabilities.

- $U_n := [-\kappa_-, \kappa_+] \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. All transaction are "buy stock" or "sell stock". The payoff function $\bar{F}(\tilde{S}^n) := \sum_i F^i(\tilde{S}^n)$ where \tilde{S}^n is the process obtained from S^n by linear interpolation. is continuous and of polynomial growth in $C[0, 1]$.
- The hedging price \bar{x}^n is the infimum of the initial capitals $x \in \mathbb{R}$ admitting a portfolio which net worth at $T = 1$ dominates $\bar{F}(\tilde{S}^n)$.

Bank–Dolinsky–Perkkiö theorem, 2

- Let \mathbf{G} be the set of $(d - 1) \times (d - 1)$ matrices of the matrix

$$\sigma\sigma' + \sigma\beta + \beta'\sigma'$$

where β is a matrix which column $\beta_k = \sum_{j \leq d} w_{jk} v_j$ for some $w_{jk} \in [0, (1/d)(\kappa_- + \kappa_+)]$ (the set of such β is denoted by \mathbf{B}).

- Let W be $(d - 1)$ -valued Wiener process defined on some stochastic basis and let \mathcal{H} be set of predictable $(d - 1)$ -valued processes such that $HH' \in \mathbf{G}$.

Theorem

Suppose that for any $\beta \in \mathbf{B}$ the matrix $\sigma' + \beta$ is invertible and $v_i'(\sigma' + \beta)^{-1}v_j > -1$ for all i, j . Then

$$\lim \bar{x}^n = \sup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} E\bar{F}(1, \mathcal{E}(H^2 \cdot W^2), \dots, \mathcal{E}(H^d \cdot W^d)).$$

Multidimensional version of the Grépat theorem

- Let $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ be the set of $(d-1) \times (d-1)$ positive definite matrices of the form






$$\sigma\sigma' + \sigma\beta + \beta'\sigma'$$





where $\beta = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j \leq d} v_j \otimes u_j$ (the set of such matrices β is denoted by $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$).

- Let W be $(d-1)$ -valued Wiener process defined on some stochastic basis and let \mathcal{H} be the set of predictable $(d-1)$ -valued processes such that $HH' \in \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$.

Theorem

Suppose that for any $\beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ the matrix $\sigma' + \beta$ is invertible and $(v_i, \beta(\sigma' + \beta)^{-1}v_j) > -1$ for all i, j . Then $\Gamma^n \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty$ where $\Gamma^\infty = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : Z_0x \geq EZ_1\hat{F}(Z) \forall Z = (1, \mathcal{E}(H^2 \cdot W^2), \dots, \mathcal{E}(H^d \cdot W^d))\}$.

-  Bank P., Dolinsky Y., Perkkiö A-P. The scaling limit of superreplication prices with small transaction costs in the multivariate case. *Finance and Stochastics*, **21**, 2, 487-508 (2017)
-  Darses S., Lépinette E. : Limit theorem for a modified Leland hedging strategy under constant transaction costs rate. *Inspired by Finance. The Musiela Festschrift*. Springer, Berlin (2013)
-  Grépat J. : On the Limit Behavior of Option Hedging Sets under Transaction Costs. *Recent Advances in Financial Engineering*, 75–91 (2013)
-  He H. : Convergence from discrete to continuous time contingent claim prices. *Rev. Fin. Stud.*, **3**, 523-546 (1990)
-  Kabanov Yu., Safarian M. : On Leland's strategy of option pricing with transaction costs. *Finance and Stochastics*, **1**, 3, 239-250 (1997)

-  Kabanov Yu., Safarian M. : Markets with Transaction Costs. Springer, Berlin (2009)
-  Kusuoka, S. : Limit theorem on option replication cost with transaction costs. Ann. Appl. Probab., **5**, 198–221 (1995)
-  Leland H. E. : Option pricing and replication with transaction costs, J. Finance, **40**, 1283–1301 (1985)
-  Lépinette E. : Modified Leland's strategy for constant transaction costs rate. Mathematical Finance **22**, 4 (2012)